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Summary Stereoelectroencephalography (SEEG) aims to define the epileptogenic zone (EZ), to study its relationship with functional areas and the causal lesion and to evaluate the possibility of surgical therapy. Planning of exploration is based on the validity of the hypotheses developed from electroclinical and imaging correlations. Further investigations can refine the implantation plan (e.g. fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography [FDG-PET], single photon emission computerized tomography [SPECT], magnetoencephalography [MEG] and high resolution electroencephalography [EEG-HR]). The scheme is individualized according to the features of each clinical case, but a general approach can be systematized according to the regions involved (temporal versus extra-temporal), the existence of a lesion, its type and extent. It takes account of the hemispheric dominance for language if this can be determined. In “temporal plus” epilepsies, perisylvian and insular regions are among the key structures to investigate in addition to mesial and neocortical temporal areas. In frontal lobe epilepsies, determining the functional and anatomical organization of seizures (anterior versus posterior,

∗ Corresponding author. Unité d’épileptologie, service de neurochirurgie, centre hospitalier Sainte-Anne, 1, rue Cabanis, 75014 Paris, France.
E-mail address: f.chassoux@ch-sainte-anne.fr (F. Chassoux).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucli.2017.11.007
0987-7053/© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Chassoux F, et al. Planning and management of SEEG. Neurophysiologie Clinique/Clinical Neurophysiology (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucli.2017.11.007
Introduction

The aim of SEEG is to determine the location of the epileptogenic zone (EZ) and the propagation pathways in order to perform a surgical treatment. While the concept and definition of EZ has been subject to some controversy according to different schools of epileptology, the present work refers to the definition developed by the founders of the SEEG methodology and is based on neurophysiological criteria [1–6]. The EZ integrates the site of onset and primary organization of ictal discharges and their correlation with clinical expression, interictal electrical abnormalities and anatomical data. Analyzing the spatial-temporal dynamic of ictal events is crucial for defining the EZ. Once established, relationships between the EZ and functional anatomical structures, and feasibility of surgical resection or disconnection have to be evaluated. Thus the strategy of implantation must meet the following objectives: (1) to define the EZ at the neurophysiological level, (2) to study its relations with highly functional areas and the causal lesion if identified and (3) to evaluate the possibilities of surgical treatment. The management of SEEG implies the determination of EZ with optimal security conditions. The training of medical and paramedical teams is included in these recommendations.

Methods

As in other chapters related to SEEG methodology, recommendations concerning the planning and management of the exploration were drawn from the experience of the teams confronted in a consensus meeting and supported by the data from existing literature. We identified references from PubMed with the terms “stereo-EEG”, “stereo-electroencephalography”, “SEEG”, “invasive recordings”, “epileptogenic zone”, “electrical stimulations”, “epilepsy surgery”, “partial seizures”, “temporal lobe epilepsy”, “frontal lobe epilepsy”, “parietal lobe epilepsy”, “occipital lobe epilepsy”, “insular epilepsy”, “hippocampal sclerosis”, “malformation of cortical development”, “focal cortical dysplasia”, “cryptogenic epilepsy”, “negative MRI” in various associations, without time limit and including articles in French and English. The final list was established on the basis of the SEEG-specific reference including historical data, with relevance to current practices.

We will successively examine the consensus recommendations concerning (1) pre-implantation assessment (phase 1); (2) planning of implantation according to the presumed location and lateralization of the EZ; (3) particular aspects according to the underlying cause of epilepsy (lesional versus non-lesional; (4) specificities of management in children and (5) training of personnel.

Pre-implantation assessment

Since the first recordings and the development of the methodology by the pioneers of SEEG, widespread improvement of techniques and practices has progressively been adopted, while remaining faithful to the initial concepts. The pre-implantation assessment is currently well codified although resources may vary significantly from one center to another, as evidenced by a recent European survey [7]. Seizure recordings with EEG-video monitoring and high quality MRI constitute the minimum base that is mandatory for planning SEEG. Morphological imaging techniques are regularly improved according to technological advances [8–10]. Protocols dedicated to epilepsy must be available. Other non-invasive techniques have proved to be useful to localize the EZ and to refine the implantation strategy, especially in negative-MRI cases (PET with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) [11–15]; SPECT during the ictal period, compared to interictal examination [16]; MEG [17,18]; and electrical source imaging with EEG-HR [19–22]). The multimodal approach seems the most attractive but requires access to highly specialized and expensive equipment. However, the respective contribution of each tool is not formally established to date. If the patient’s age and possibilities of cooperation allow it, performing a neuropsychological assessment and/or functional MRI (fMRI) may be useful at this stage in determining hemispheric dominance for language and anticipating the functional consequences of the surgical resection. However, this point of view was not shared by all teams, especially pediatric teams, since fMRI is not feasible in a number of situations.
Planning of implantation

The implantation project integrates all the data acquired during the non-invasive phase. It is materialized on a scheme elaborated within the medical-surgical multi-disciplinary team. The strategy of implantation depends basically on the validity of the hypotheses developed from anatomo-electro-clinical correlations [1,5,6]. This step implies the elaboration of hypotheses on the EZ and their confrontation with the cerebral space of the patient, taking into account the anatomical data and vascular constraints. It is advised to formulate a main hypothesis to focus the exploration and alternative hypotheses to avoid sampling error. The project also anticipates the surgical approach and the limits of the cortical resection. In some cases, if thermoagulations are planned, it may be suggested to orient the implantation strategy accordingly [23,24]. The relevance of each implantation should be regularly evaluated in order to optimize the practices.

The number of electrodes mainly depends on the cerebral volume and the number of structures and lobes to be explored according to the initial hypotheses. Each electrode must be justified by clinical, electrophysiological or anatomical arguments. The number of implanted electrodes is not fixed absolutely; this varies most often between 7 and 14 [25]. Apart from special cases (additional exploration or ‘over-implantation’ for thermoagulations), when only a small number of electrodes (< 6) seems necessary, the relevance of the SEEG should be discussed. Conversely, when a large number of electrodes seem necessary (> 15), one can wonder about the contribution of a complementary investigation that could reduce their number. This view has been discussed by some experts, who consider that an upper limit in the number of electrodes should not be fixed. However, it must be recalled here that, despite a low risk of complications related to the implantation of SEEG electrodes [25–27], the benefit-risk ratio of each electrode must be considered, especially in highly functional areas.

Electrode nomenclature is not standardized. The choice of alphabetical letters initially chosen by the pioneer team has evolved according to the various centers. There is no simple and easily reproducible reference system; the most commonly used being the name of the cerebral target of the electrode. However, with the increasing use of oblique electrodes, it is necessary to add the entry point on the cortex that complicates the readability of the scheme. At this stage, it is not possible to recommend a specific nomenclature, but it is suitable that it could be clearly explained within each center.

General rules

The implantation scheme is individual and formulated on the basis of electroclinical and imaging data. However, a general approach may be systematized according to lobar involvement and anatomo-functional connectivity, the existence of structural abnormalities and the type of causal lesion. It takes into account hemispheric dominance for language if this can be determined. Multiplication of electrodes in highly functional areas (speech, motor skills) should be avoided unless the question precisely addresses their early involvement. Laterialized implantation focusing on one hemisphere should be preferred, but if it is necessary to implant contralateral electrodes, these should be placed symmetrically if possible. In contrast, a bilateral and symmetrical exploration, with the same number of electrodes in both hemispheres, is not recommended.

Temporal lobe epilepsies

Semiology of temporal lobe seizures and different types of EZ organization based on SEEG have been widely described [1,28–35]. Cumulative data have allowed limiting the use of SEEG in an increasing number of cases, especially in typical mesial temporal lobe epilepsies (MTLE) related to a structural lesion. Moreover, in some so-called ‘MRI-negative’ cases, it has been suggested that invasive recordings can be avoided if there is strong electroclinical evidence for typical mesial temporal involvement and concordant metabolic abnormalities [36,37]. It should be emphasized that this view obtained a low consensus rate in the current expert working party, especially in dominant hemisphere in which systematic SEEG was still considered mandatory in non-lesional cases. Sampling involves the mesial temporal structures (hippocampus and amygdala), the entorhinal cortex, the middle temporal gyrus (MTG) and basal cortex, the superior temporal gyrus (STG) and, if possible, the temporal pole and the insular cortex.

In the hypothesis of neocortical temporal epilepsy, sampling includes the lateral temporal regions (STG and MTG) in their anterior and posterior parts. It should be noted that orthogonal electrodes also target the hippocampus or para-hippocampal gyrus (PHG) by their mesial contacts. In the case of anterior propagation, it may be appropriate to explore the temporal pole, the inferior temporal gyrus (ITG), the fronto-temporal junction and the anterior insula. Several electrodes can be placed in these regions to ensure better coverage (since a single electrode with few contacts in the STG or MTG would be insufficient). If the propagation is mainly posterior, the sampling will include the temporal planum, the supramarginal gyrus, the posterior insula, the fusiform gyrus and the temporo-occipital junction.

Lessons provided by SEEG have also helped in characterizing more complex EZ organization, leading to the concepts of temporo-perisylvian or temporal ‘plus’ epilepsies [38–52]. Improvement of knowledge of these different entities helps to guide the implantation strategy, depending on the hypotheses that have been elaborated (Fig. 1). In the hypothesis of anterior temporo-perisylvian epilepsy, sampling involves the orbito-frontal cortex, the anterior insula, the precentral opercular cortex and the anterior cingulate gyrus (ACG) in addition to the aforementioned temporal regions. In case of involvement of posterior regions, it will involve the posterior STG (Heschl’s gyrus and planum temporale), the posterior insula and the post-central operculum, the temporo-parieto-occipital junction and the posterior cingulate gyrus (PCG). In the hypothesis of bitemporal epilepsy, or in the case of early contralateral spread, one side supposed to be the most involved is sampled as in the implantation scheme described for MTLE, with at least one contralateral electrode (usually in the anterior hippocampus). Other electrodes can be
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discussed depending on the electroclinical data (entorhinal cortex, amygdala, posterior hippocampus, temporal pole). There is no decisive argument to justify the choice of one or more contralateral electrodes. As far as possible, bilateral electrodes should be symmetrical.

**Frontal lobe epilepsies**

Understanding of organization of frontal epilepsies has also greatly benefited from information provided by SEEG. Thus study of the semiology of frontal lobe seizures described by the Sainte-Anne School has identified different origins and spread pathways with antero-posterior and mesial-lateral systematization. According to this classification, anterior frontal seizures (fronto-polar, orbital, frontal mesial involving the ACG) have been distinguished from posterior frontal seizures, involving the supplementary motor area (SMA) and the motor cortex, and seizures originating from the dorso-lateral regions [53–60]. These data have been enhanced by more contemporary works in the area of sleep epilepsies [61,62], selection of candidates [63], characteristic...
manifestations pointing to the frontal lobe such as the so-called “hypermotor” seizures [64] and other motor manifestations [56,65,66], startle epilepsies [67] and striking changes in facial expression with the “chapeau de gendarme” mouth [68]. Anato-mo-functional systematization of frontal lobe seizures has thus been proposed [65], in order to refine the exploration of frontal epilepsies (Fig. 2). However, given the volume of the frontal lobe and the role of intra- and interhemispheric connections, relatively large sampling is usually required. It is therefore necessary to build a solid hypothesis in terms of lateralization and localization before discussing the implantation (anterior versus posterior, mesial versus dorso-lateral areas).

In the hypothesis of mesial anterior frontal epilepsy, sampling involves the orbital region (often requiring a double approach, orthogonal and oblique to target the gyrus rectus), the frontal pole, the ACG with at least 2
electrodes (areas 24 and 32), the superior frontal gyrus (SFG), the anterior insula and often the anterior temporal region (amygdala, temporal pole). The question of bilateral implantation is discussed in case of bilateral anomalies on the scalp EEG and/or doubt regarding the side involved at seizure onset. There is no particular recommendation on the structures to be explored in the contralateral side. The functional regions must be avoided as far as possible. Ideally, the contralateral electrode(s) should be symmetrical with those placed on the ipsilateral side. In the hypothesis of anterior dorsal-lateral frontal epilepsy, sampling will include the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), allowing the location of Broca’s area in the dominant hemisphere, the orbital cortex, the lateral frontal cortex (middle and superior frontal gyrus) including the pre-motor cortex (areas 8 and 6), the frontal operculum and at least one electrode in the ACG and the insula. The question of bilateral exploration is less frequent than in the previous situation. Oblique electrodes are often useful for exploring the convexity. Exploration of the posterior frontal and central regions was initially limited to clastic lesions within the context of infarct hemisphere. This allowed description of the complexity of the EZ organization involving highly integrated premotor and sensorimotor systems. It also allowed the study of specific entities such as epilepsy partialis continua, cortical myoclonus and startle epilepsy [56,69,70]. This knowledge was then applied to other etiologies such as developmental tumors and focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) [71,72]. Epilepsies originating from these areas require sampling of primary motor cortex (area 4), premotor areas (area 6) and primary and secondary somatosensory systems (post-central cortex, S2). This implies crossing the central sulcus, which carries a risk of motor deficit in case of hemorrhagic complication. It is justified only when there is a high probability of curative surgery and/or when functional reorganization has been demonstrated beforehand.

**Epilepsies of the posterior quadrant**

Parietal and occipital epilepsies are less frequent than those previously discussed and the principles of their exploration are based on fewer data, which have been reported more recently [73–78]. The density of the connections with the central, insular and temporal regions on one hand, and with the contralateral side on the other hand, accounts for the clinical polymorphism. These epilepsies often require multi-lobar and bilateral explorations with particular regard to the pathways of propagation and the implication of functional structures (language, reading, vision, face recognition).

**Parietal epilepsies**

Epilepsies originating from the post-central region are discussed with central epilepsies and require sampling of the premotor cortex. Involvement of the superior and inferior parietal lobule requires specific sampling particularly of Brodmann’s areas 5 and 7, the intra-parietal sulcus, the inferior parietal cortex and the posterior cingulate gyrus, the post-central operculum and the posterior insula. According to electroclinical data, adjacent and connected areas may also be explored such as the central region (in particular the paracentral lobule), the frontal lobe (premotor cortex), the occipital cortex, the tempo-parietal junction and the temporal lobe. It may be necessary to add electrodes in the contralateral hemisphere.

**Occipital epilepsies**

Epilepsies originating from the occipital lobe are relatively rare and apart from inaugural subjective manifestations, they frequently present symptoms related to their propagation to adjacent structures [79–81]. Localization with surface EEG and EEG-HR is difficult or even misleading, especially in the case of mesial occipital localization [21], leading to the need for bilateral explorations in occipital epilepsy. The exploration requires a preliminary evaluation of the visual functions: visual field study, visual evoked potentials, diffusion tensor imaging to locate visual fibers, fMRI for vision, reading and face recognition. The strategy of implantation is closely related to the pathways of neurophysiological networks [82–86].

The exploration targets the occipital cortex unilaterally or bilaterally with an electrode located on each side of the calcarine fissure and a basal occipital electrode. The cortex of the occipital pole is difficult to explore with SEEG electrodes; a postero-anterior electrode can be considered but this is rather uncomfortable for the patient. Identification of propagation pathways is crucial. Two main situations are identified, involving either a ventral (occipito-temporal) or dorsal (occipito-parieto-frontal) network. For the ventral network, involved in seizures originating from the infra-calcarine area, sampling includes the lingual gyrus, the basal occipito-temporal junction (fusiform gyrus), the anterior calcarine fissure, the cuneus, the posterior hippocampus and the tempo-basal cortex. Contralateral electrodes should be discussed (occipital cortex, lingual gyrus). For the dorsal network, (in seizures originating from the supra-calcarine area), sampling involves the cuneus, the parietal lobe (superior and inferior parietal lobule, parieto-occipital sulcus, posterior cingulate gyrus), the lingual gyrus and the tempo-parieto-occipital junction. The need to explore the frontal cortex (frontal eye field) and the contralateral side (cuneus for example) should be discussed by the team.

**Insular epilepsies**

Seizures primarily arising from the insula have been recently characterized. Semiology is often misleading and may mimic the symptomatology of frontal or temporal seizures. Better knowledge of specific subjective manifestations makes it easier to recognize these. Technical progress has progressively allowed sampling of the insula under good safety conditions and through identifying the anatomo-functional characteristics [47,87–95]. SEEG is particularly effective in demonstrating an insular origin of seizures (Fig. 3). The technique of implantation and the choice of trajectories depend on the experience of the teams and the vascular constraints. The close proximity of the insular cortex with arborization of the middle cerebral artery implies that vascular safety (distance between trajectory and vessel) is a priority. Only the antero-inferior angle is difficult to implant due to the vascular risk. To explore the insula, orthogonal
and oblique electrodes can be used. Orthogonal implantation has the advantage of simultaneously investigating the insular cortex and the operculum, which is often crucial in this type of epilepsy. However, it has the disadvantage of recording only a limited volume of the insular cortex. On the contrary, an oblique implantation, along the insular cortex, allows investigation of a larger insular cortical surface, but cannot record the activity of the operculum. If necessary, both orthogonal and oblique approaches can be combined. The insular exploration will be coupled with the exploration of other adjacent regions, frontal, parietal or temporal, depending on the clinical symptomatology. It should be noted that the insular ictal discharges may display early spread to the contralateral side or may be synchronous on both hemispheres, with the risk of false lateralization. Relevant clinical or imaging features are then helpful for the choice of hemisphere.

**Lesional epilepsies**

SEEG planning for lesional epilepsies mostly depends on the causal lesion. Its specific contribution should be discussed according to information provided by previous correlations between SEEG data and non-invasive tools. Given the progress of morphological and functional imaging, identifying the limits of a tumor or a dysplastic lesion is rarely a primary goal. On the other hand, the question of the relationship between lesion and EZ is often raised, especially in brain injury and diffuse forms of malformations of cortical development. It should be kept in mind that the EZ and the structural lesion are rarely perfectly contiguous except in the particular case of FCD type 2 [96–99] and some developmental tumors [100,101]. Moreover, a significant number of so-called cryptogenic epilepsies (negative-MRI cases) are in fact of lesional origin, and mainly related to FCD [12,102–104].

Generally, whatever the location, the above-described scheme is modified by adding one or more lesional and perilesional electrodes if possible. In a temporal neocortical location, it is advised to include the mesial temporal structures. Intralesional, perilesional and distant areas sampling is particularly adequate for complex or diffuse cortical developmental malformations such as heterotopia and polymicrogyria with or without schizencephaly [105–111]. The need to record intralesional activity does not apply...
to clastic, post-anoxic, post-traumatic or post-encephalitic lesions, in which it is preferable to explore the perilesional cortex rather than necrotic tissues or cystic areas. Moreover, for vascular lesions, it is not recommended to place an intrallesional electrode due to the hemorrhagic risk. However, it is possible to record the perilesional cortex in cavernomas [112].

Management of SEEG

The risk-benefit ratio of SEEG should be evaluated by an experienced multidisciplinary team and the discussion noted in the patient’s chart. The procedure is managed by a medical and paramedical team specifically trained in invasive EEG monitoring. Information must be given to the patient and his (her) legal guardians if he (she) is a minor or under guardianship.

Patient care

Close monitoring of the patient and the intracranial recordings by the medical and paramedical team in a dedicated environment is mandatory throughout the duration of the SEEG. Continuous monitoring (involving a specialized nurse or an EEG technician near the patient) is preferable but may be discontinuous according to the equipment and staffing of each center. The minimum duration of each recording is not fixed, depending on the clinical situation (frequent or rare seizures, cooperation and behavior of the patient, risk of major seizures or agitation, etc.). The presence of a relative may be sought in some patients, especially in children and patients with agitation during seizures. The purpose of the monitoring is threefold: (1) seizure detection and description; (2) patient protection against the consequences of seizures (such as trauma, secondary generalization and hypoxia); (3) protection of the technical equipment in the case of marked agitation. Clinical monitoring includes the patient’s general condition (in particular searching for infectious signs and complication of bed-rest), neurological state (e.g. consciousness, headache, focal deficit, autonomic disorders) and psychological examination (e.g. anxiety, insomnia, agitation). The repair of the head bandage depends on its condition and the prescription of the neurosurgeon. In addition to clinical symptoms, change of the background activity on recordings with the occurrence of focal slow waves or depression of the electrical activity is highly suggestive of the formation of a hematoma and a CT scanner must be urgently performed.

Conditions for defining the EZ

Recording at least one spontaneous seizure reproducing the known semiology is recommended, in order to define the EZ appropriately. Recording several seizures may be required to check their homogeneity or when the patient reports several types of seizures. Neurophysiological techniques for anticipating seizures may be useful to optimize the recording conditions [113], but no method is currently operational. The exploration is usually conducted under progressive drug withdrawal except in the case of frequent seizures. Anti-epileptic drug reduction is adapted to the patient’s condition and assessed at least once a day.

Subclinical ictal discharges (or subclinical seizures) may have the significance of a spontaneous seizure with usual clinical manifestations and must be considered useful data for defining the EZ [114], particularly in FCD [98]. However, electroclinical correlations remain privileged in order to achieve an optimal EZ definition and the value of subclinical discharges when electroclinical seizures are not obtained was a matter of debate for the current working party, with a low consensus rate. In the same way, the reproduction of a usual seizure by low-frequency stimulation can be accepted to define the EZ under specific conditions [98,115–118]. This situation is mainly discussed in seizures of hippocampal origin or in FCD type 2, provided that the electrode is strictly intralicesional. The elicited seizure must reproduce the usual clinical and EEG characteristics of spontaneous seizures. The electrical pattern of the discharge (amplitude, duration, propagation) and its correlations with the habitual subjective and objective manifestations are decisive for analyzing seizures triggered by stimulations. Thus the clinical signs must appear before the propagation of the electrical discharge to the structures connected with the stimulated site. In this condition, the induced seizure is considered pertinent to define the EZ (“true positive”). In contrast, if the seizure begins following an after-discharge with the recruitment of a local or remote network, its value in defining the EZ is more questionable. These criteria are also used to study the value of high-frequency stimulations [116,117,119–122]. While high-frequency stimulations are more likely to result in seizures than low-frequency stimulations, they are also more likely to result in habitual seizures (especially with secondary generalization), which may therefore be unhelpful or misleading in defining the EZ (“false positives”). For high-frequency stimulation, intensity has also to be considered: the lower the intensity, the higher the significance of the elicited seizure. However, electrically-induced seizures (including low-frequency stimulations) were considered by some experts less reliable than spontaneous seizures for the definition of EZ and therefore their value obtained a low consensus rate.

Sleep-related epilepsies

Night recordings are particularly useful in seizures occurring predominantly during sleep [50,61,62,123,124]. They are helpful whenever safety conditions are met, with the need for continuous monitoring. Otherwise, spontaneous sleep during day or after sleep deprivation can be obtained. Sleep induction with melatonin or amitriptyline (0.5 mg/kg intramuscularly) can also be discussed. Amitriptyline combines the inductive effects of sleep and depression of the epileptogenic threshold. Activation of interictal abnormalities and facilitation of seizure occurrence has been shown in different types of epilepsies [125]. During SEEG usual seizures may be obtained after amitriptyline in about 1/3 of the cases (personal data). It is preferable to perform this injection before the withdrawal of anti-epileptic treatment to avoid the risk of secondary generalization. It should be noted, however, that even spontaneous sleep can increase the diffusion of interictal abnormalities and the speed of
propagation of ictal discharges. In the case of diffuse or widespread interictal spikes recorded during the wakefulness and/or sleep, intravenous injection of benzodiazepines at the end of SEEG (diazepam: 10 mg or clonazepam: 1 mg) may contribute to spatially restrict the irritative zone, particularly in FCD Type 2 [98,103]. It also may help to limit the widespread of the EZ in case of highly frequent seizures. This pharmacological approach remains limited to some teams and therefore has a low consensus rate.

Duration of SEEG

The duration of SEEG should be limited to the time required to obtain relevant information on the organization of the EZ and to reach a decision on surgical treatment. The average duration varies between 1 and 2 weeks. In some cases, it can be extended to 3 weeks, but beyond this time the risk-benefit ratio (particularly infectious) should be justified. If it is unfeasible to define the EZ after an initial exploration, in particular because of inadequate or insufficient sampling, a second SEEG may be proposed. However, this must be strongly argued with a new discussion of risk-benefit ratios.

Particularities in children

The SEEG methodology is applicable in children and is well-tolerated [126–131]. In very young children (<3 years), data are more limited but it has been shown that SEEG can help to propose relatively focal curative interventions [130]. However, this practice requires pediatric experience and a dedicated environment. Continuous monitoring is mandatory because of the large number of seizures usually presented at this age and the possibility of carrying out the shortest explorations. Continuous parental presence is required. Before 2 years, technical problems limit its practice, especially the thickness of the bone (>2 mm) conditioning the use of SEEG electrodes.

Team training

The specificity of the SEEG methodology implies specific training of the medical (neurologists, neurophysiologists, neurosurgeons) and paramedical (nurses and EEG technicians) teams. The multiplication of centers in national (French) and European terms has benefited a growing number of patients [26,132]. Its advantages in terms of precision and safety have also led to rapid expansion in use by North American teams [25,133,134] as well as other countries around the world. The current expansion and development of SEEG must imply the most rigorous conditions of its good clinical practice. The labeling of reference centers for epilepsy surgery including the practice of SEEG is underway in France. It follows the methodology of the High Authority of Health (HAS) describing a reference framework for center evaluation. Patient recruitment and number of procedures performed each year, human resources (neurologists-epileptologists, neurosurgeons, neuropsychologists, neuroradiologists, neuropathologists with specific expertise in epileptology) are taken into account. Multidisciplinary approach is considered including continuous EEG-SEEG-video monitoring, advanced morphological and functional imaging, organization of care, academic expertise, links with patient associations and therapeutic education and rehabilitation.

As there is no qualification specifically dedicated to SEEG practice, it is essential that each practitioner can justify training and experience validated both in epileptology and in neurophysiological techniques including intra-cerebral recordings. Participation in national and international SEEG schools is encouraged. Hands-on clinical training with experienced teams is advised. It must involve active participation at all stages of the SEEG including interpretation (represented by a period of 6–12 months and/or at least 10 SEEG). It must concern at least the neurologist and the neurosurgeon wishing to develop the methodology in their center. EEG nurses and technicians must also receive specific training.

Conclusions

SEEG is an effective and safe methodology to define the EZ with the goal of proposing curative surgery in drug-resistant partial epilepsy. As an integrated method rather than a simple technique, it takes account of all the clinical, neurophysiological and anatomo-functional data in order to achieve accurate localization of the EZ. The approach is individual but can be systematized according to the location and the cause of epilepsy. It can be performed in very young children. It requires rigorous training to ensure optimal results and safety of the exploration.
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